Risk Category	Description	
Liability profile	ofile Risk that actual benefit costs are higher than expected leading to increased contributions or investment risk to make up the shortfall. This includes higher inflation, increased longevity and changes to the composition of membership i.e. maturing fund	
Governance	Actuarial, legal or investment advice is not sought, or is not heeded, or ernance proves to be insufficient in some way. This includes Committee and officer skills, the decision-making structure and operational abilities.	
Climate risk	Climate change affects liabilities (increased mortality), operational processes (physical disruption), and investment returns (pricing into company returns and covenant).	
Data	Administering Authority holds incorrect data so the Fund collects incorrect contributions and/or sets an inappropriate funding plan. This could impact the funding level.	
Financial - Matching Assets (strategic)	Atching Assets Requirement to manage operating cashflows and ensure assets meet	
Financial - Non- matching Assets (implementation)	atching Assets minimising employer contributions	
Regulatory	egulatory Changes by Government to LGPS rules e.g. employer participation, altered requirements. Also includes direct intervention. Could impact on funding and/or investment strategies	
Administration Pensions Act/GDPR or other breaches because of process risks around holding data, in particular member data, but also asset administration and the Pension /Fund's payroll.		Averse

Risk Appetite	Risk Appetite Description		
Averse	rse Avoidance of risk and uncertainty is a key organisational objective		
Minimalist	Uncertainty is to be avoided unless essential; only prepared to accept		
Minimalist	the possibility of very limited financial loss		
Coutious	Tolerance for risk taking is limited to events where there is little		
Cautious	chance of significant downside impact		
Onen	Tolerance for decisions with potential for significant risk, but with		
Open	appropriate steps to minimise exposure		
Llunger	Eager to pursue options offering potentially higher rewards despite		
Hungry	greater inherent risk		

### Risk Scoring Convention and Likelihood Definitions

# **Scoring Convention**

Risks are assessed on a five-point scale across likelihood and impact, with impact weighted as follows:

Total Risk = (Likelihood x Impact) + Impact

Risks with a high impact / low probability are therefore more highly prioritised because over a long time span low probability events are more likely to occur eventually.

### **Likelihood Definitions**

Score	Description		Likelihood of Occurrence
1	Highly Unlikely	The event may occur in only rare circumstances (remote chance)	1 in 8 + years
2	Unlikely	The event may occur in certain circumstances (unlikely chance)	1 in 4-7 years
3	Possible	The event may occur (realistic chance)	1 in 2-3 years
4	Probable	The event will probably occur (significant chance)	1 in 1-2 years
5	Very Likely	The event is expected to occur or occurs regularly	Up to 1 in every year

## Impact Score Definitions

## Appendix 3

Score	Description	Members and Employers	Investments and Funding	Administration
1	Insignificant	Negligible impact - not noticeable by members or employers, no complaints or issues likely to be raised by members or employers.	Negligible impact - of a level that would not register for investment action.	Negligible impact - low level administrative ussues resolved internally with no impact on key performance indicators
		Example - Member or employer communication newsletter issued a few days later than planned.	Example - Normal volatility levels being experienced in the investment portfolio.	Example - A manageable backlog of data to be uploaded to the administration system that has no impact on actual member payments.
2	Minor	Minor impact on members and/or employers which may cause correspondence about issues that can be resolved at source.	Minor impact on investment operations requiring monitoring and attention but not requiring anything other than business as usual actions.	Minor impact on administration performance requiring action within business as usual parameters.
		Example - A member not being given the correct information first time when corresponding with the Fund and this having to be corrected, but having no impact on benefits paid	Example - minor adverse fund investment event, such as a credit default within a private credit portfolio which is of a business as usual nature.	Example - an employer experiencing persist difficulty in providing correct data resulting in the need for extra training/support/correspondence to resolve
	Moderate	Material adverse impact on members or employers that is of cause for concern to them and the Fund and requires escalation for non-business as usual resolutions	Material impact requiring bespoke corrective action, but manageable within the existing Investmetn Strategy	Material impact on administration performance, but manageable within approved policies and procedures.
3		More likely to be isolated issues but could have some scale. Example - Inability to finalise and sign off an admission agreement with a new employer resulting in escalation.	Examples - Significant drift or step change in actual in asset allocation taking the Fund risk profile out of tolerances, or significant slippage in the implementation of a significant Fund transfer	Examples - Inability to agree a transfer of membership and liabilities from another fund, requiring arbitration by a third party, or disappointing data quality scores resulting in a need for an improvement plan.
4	Catastrophic	Significant adverse impact on members or employers that result in a direct impact on benefits paid or contributions due or member or emnployer satisfaction with Fund performance. Likely to result in complaints. More likely to be systemic issues. Examples - A significant delay in the issue of member annual benefit	Major impact requiring significant corrective action and a change in Investmet Strategy or Funding Strategy, or the significant sale of assets under distress. May result in noticeable changes to employer contributions. Examples - Major change in the world economic outlook, or in the present value of future liabilities requiring a change in strategy, or inability	Major failure of administration function, likely to be systematic in nature, of a high profile nature to members and employers. Example - Widespread and persistent failure to meet key performance indicators such as dealing with certain types of administration query or action within deadlines, and reciept of significant numbers of complaints from members.
		statements, or persistently charging an employer an incorrect contribution rate. Serious and systematic errors in benefits payments or administration KPIs, or significant volatility or increase in employer contributions.	to implement a significant Fund lauch. Resulting in significant volatility or increase in employer contributions, inabilty to pay member benefits, or a need to significantly increase	Catastrophic failure of administration function leading to inability to pay
5		Significant breaches of the law	investment risk exposure. Significant failure to meet legal or regulatory requirements.	benefits accurately or at all on a large scale. Significant breaches of the law
		Serious complaints and reputational harm caused	Serious reputaitonal harm caused	Serious complaints and reputational harm caused
		Example - Systematic failure to monitor employer contributions resulting in subsequent identification of a large number of contribution deficits that employers cannot then catch up with.	Example - Catastrophic deterioration in the ability or employers to pay contributions resulting in a need for emergency investment and cashflow measures in order to keep paying benefits.	Example - Wholesale failure of the pension payroll funciton resulting in no member payments being made.